Dkt. 59896/JPW/ADM

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants : Kenneth A. Jones et al.

mention in bones et al.

U.S. Serial No.: 09/471,572 Group Art Unit: 1646

Filed : December 23, 1999 Examiner: J.F. Murphy

For : CHIMERIC G PROTEINS AND USES THEREOF

1185 Ave of the Americas New York, New York 10036

April 25, 2001

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 2021

Sir:

COMMUNICATION IN RESPONSE TO MARCH 29, 2001 OFFICE ACTION

This Communication is submitted in response to the Office Action issued March 29, 2001 by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in connection with the above-identified application. A response to the March 29, 2001 Office Action is due April 29, 2001. Accordingly, this Communication is being timely filed.

Restriction Requirement Under 35 U.S.C. §121

In the March 29, 2001 Office Action, the Examiner to whom the subject application is assigned required restriction under 35 U.S.C. §121 to one of the following inventions:

- Claims 1-22, drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a chimeric G protein with an amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:
 classified in class 536, subclass 23.5;
- II. Claims 1-22, drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a chimeric G protein with an amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 2, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5;
- III. Claims 1-22, drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a chimeric G protein with an amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:

Kenneth A. Jones et al. U.S. Serial No.: 09/171,572 Filed: December 23, 1999 page 2

- 3, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5;
- IV. Claims 1-22, drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a chimeric G protein with an amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 4, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5;
- V. Claims 1-22, drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a chimeric G protein with an amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 5, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5;
- VI. Claims 1-22, drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a chimeric G protein with an amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 41, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5;
- VII. Claim 77, drawn to a process for determining whether a chemical compound is a mammalian G protein-coupled receptor antagonist, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2; and
- VIII. Claim 141, drawn to a process of screening clones for expression of a 3-protein coupled receptor, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

The Examiner alleged that the inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-VI are independent and distinct, each from the other, because they are products which possess characteristic differences in structure and function, and each has an independent utility, that is distinct for each invention which cannot be exchanged;

Inventions II and III are independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods are practiced with materially different starting materials, have materially different process steps, and are for materially different purposes;

Kenneth A. Jones et al. U.S. Serial No.: 09/171,572 Filed: December 23, 1999 page 3

Inventions (I-VI) and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP §806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acid of invention I can be used for the production of protein; and

Inventions (I-VI) and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP \$806.04, MPEP \$808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

The Examiner concluded that because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and separate classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The Examiner advised applicants that for the reply to this requirement to be complete, it must include an election of invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. §1.143).

The Examiner stated that applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. \$1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors are no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. The Examiner further stated that any amendment of

Kenneth A. Jones et al. U.S. Serial No.: 09/471,572 Filed: December 23, 1999 page 4

inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) and by the see required under 37 C.F.R. §1.17(i).

In response to this restriction requirement, applicants hereby elect, with traverse, to prosecute the invention of Examiner's Group I, i.e. Claims 1-22, drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a chimeric G protein with an amino acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO: 1.

Applicants respectfully point out that the Examiner's reasons for restriction only reference Groups I-VI whereas the Examiner alleges that there are VIII Groups of inventions. Furthermore, applicants respectfully point out that the Examiner's reasons are unrelated to the actual claims. Groups I-VI as identified by the Examiner are directed to nucleic acids; however, the Examiner refers to the inventions of these groups as "methods" and "product and process of use".

Applicants note that 35 U.S.C. §121 states, in part, that "[i]f two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application, the Commissioner may require the application to be restricted to one of the inventions." [Emphasis added]. Applicants request that the restriction requirement be withdrawn in view of the fact that the claims of Groups I-VIII are not independent.

Under M.P.E.P. §802.1, "independent" means "there is no disclosed relationship between the subjects disclosed, that is, they are unconnected in design, operation, and effect...". The claims of Group I-VII are related in that they are drawn to nucleic acids encoding chimeric G proteins and uses of chimeric G proteins.

Applicants therefore respectfully assert that two or more independent and distinct inventions have not been claimed in the subject application because the groups are not independent under

page 5

Kenneth A. Jones et al. U.S. Serial No.: 09/471,572 Filed: December 23, 1999

M.P.E.P. §802.01. Therefore, restriction is improper under 35 U.S.C. §121.

Additionally, applicants point out that under M.P.E.P. §803, the Examiner must examine the application on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct inventions, if the search and examination of an application can be made without serious burden. There are two criteria for a proper requirement for restriction, namely (1) the invention must be independent and distinct; AND (2) there must be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is not required.

Applicants maintain that there would not be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction were not required. A search of prior art with regard to any of Groups I-VIII would necessarily identify art for the other Groups. Since there is no serious burden on the Examiner to examine Groups I-VIII in the subject application, the Examiner must examine the entire application on the merits.

Accordingly, in view of the preceding remarks, applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the requirement for restriction.

If a telephone interview would be of assistance in advancing prosecution of the subject application, applicants' undersigned attorney invites the Examiner to telephone him at the number provided below.

Kenneth A. Jones et al.
U.S. Serial No.: 09/171,572
Filed: December 23, 1999
page 6

No fee is deemed necessary in connection with the filing of this Communication. However, if a fee is required, authorization is hereby given to charge the amount of any such fee to Deposit Account No. 03-3125.

John P(White

(212) 278-0400

Registration No. 28,678 Attorney for Applicants

New York, New York 10036

1185 Avenue of the Americas

Cooper & Dunham LLP

Respectfully submitted,

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited this date with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. (2023).

John P. White

Date

No. 28,678

Date

COOPER & DUNHAM LLP

MAHNUO

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10036 TELEPHONE: (212) 278-0400

CHRISTOPHER C. DUNHAM NORMAN H. ZIVIN JOHN P. WHITE WILLIAM E. PELTON ROBERT D. KATZ PETER J. PHILLIPS WENDY E. MILLER ROBERT T. MALDONADO ERIC D. KIRSCH PEORO C. FERNANDEZ JANE M. LOVE MICHAEL F. MORANO BAYMOND A. DIPERNA FRANK A. BRUNO CHRISTOPHER M. RIES . SPYROS &. LOUKAKOS* MARIA V. MARUCCI*

IVAN S. KAVRUKOV PETER D. MURRAY JAY H. MAIOLI ROBERT B. G. HOROWITZ DONALD S. DOWDEN DONNA A. TOBIN RICHARD 5. MILNER RICHARD F. JAWORSKI PAUL TENG GARY J. GERSHIK TOOD W. EVANS SPENCER W. SCHNEIDER ALAN D. MILLER CHRISTINE B. NICKLES** JASON & MARIN KEITH J. BARKAUS*

PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGES

FACSIMILE: (212) 391-0525 (212) 391-0526 (212) 391-0630

> OF COUNSEL JOHN R. GARBER ALAN J. MORRISON

SCIENTIFIC ADVISOR BRIAN J. AMOS. PH.D.

PATENT AGENTS JENNIFER H. BURDMAN GREGORY J. CARBO

FOUNDED 1887 www.cccperdunham.com

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

* NEW YORK STATE BAR ADMISSION PENDING ** ADMITTED IN MASSACHUSETTS ONLY

: Examiner J.F. Murphy TO COMPANY/FIRM : U.S. Patent and Trademark Office FACSIMILE NO.: 703-746-5164 : John P. White / Alan Miller FROM TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES, INCLUDING COVER PAGE: TIME: 12:20pm DATE: November 29, 2001

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL BACK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE TO (212) 278-0400.

Re: Kenneth A. Jones et al., U.S. Serial No. 09/471,572, filed December 23, 1999, our Docket 1795/59896. As you requested, we enclose a copy of the Communication In Response To March 29, 2001 Office Action which we filed on April 25, 2001.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION IS INTENDED SOLELY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENT(S) NAMED ABOVE. THIS TRANSMISSION MAY BE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION CONTAINING INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT A DESIGNATED RECIPIENT OR AN AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING IT TO A DESIGNATED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS DOCUMENT IN ERROR, AND THAT ANY REVIEW, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECITIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, OR IF UPON READING THIS DOCUMENT YOU HAVE REASON TO BELIEVE THAT THE DOCUMENT WAS INADVERTENTLY SENT TO YOU, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY COLLECT TELEPHONE CALL AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US BY MAIL. THANK YOU. THAT IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT A

F	2.2	<u> </u>	E
8			Apr

ApplicantKenneth A. Jones et al.
Client Synaptic (1795) File No 59896 Atty:jpW/ADM
Date April 25, 2001
Kindly acknowledge receipt of the accompanying

Communication In Response To March 29, 2001 Office Action for Kenneth A. Jones et al., CHIMERIC G PROTEINS AND USES THEREOF, U.S. Serial No. 09/471,572, filed December 23, 1999, including a Certificate of Mailing dated April 25, 2001.

DUE ()ATE: April 29, 2001

by placing your receiving date stamp hereon and returning to us.

Applicant Kermeth A. Jones et al	· · · · ·
Client	- SMB
Client Synaptic (1795) File No Date April 25-2001	-50006 AUV ****
Date April 25, 2001	JPW/ADM
Viedla at	

Kindly acknowledge receipt of the accompanying

Communication In Response To March 29, 2001 Office Action for Kenneth A Jones et al., CHIMERIC G PROTEINS AND USES THEREOF, U.S. Serial No. 09/471, 572, filed December 23, 1999, including a Certificate of Mailing dated

DUE DATE: April 29, 2001

by placing your receiving date stamping